Let’s Quiz

Iteration Plan

[Note: Text enclosed in square brackets and displayed in blue italics (style=InfoBlue) is included to provide guidance to the author and should be deleted before publishing the document.]

# 1. Key milestones

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| Iteration start | 8-Mar-18 |
| Assignment 1 due date | 12-Mar-18 |
|  |  |
| Iteration stop | 15-Mar-18 |

# 2. High-level objectives

1. Complete Assignment 1
2. Take ownership of assigned tasks for Assignment 2

# 3. Evaluation criteria

1. Have all parts of Assignment 1 complete and submitted as per subject outline
2. Take ownership of assigned tasks for Assignment 2
   1. As a team decide who will be taking lead roles on the tasks for Assignment 2 and submit decisions to version control
   2. Each team member will at the least have created documents in their github branches relevant to the documents required for submission

# 4. Work Item assignments

The following Work Items will be addressed in this iteration:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** | **Estimate of hours remaining** |
| 1.1 | Individual Resume | Complete individual resumes as per subject outlines | Complete | All | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 1.2 | Project Proposal | Agree upon, start and complete project proposal | Not started |  | 2 |  |  |
| 1.3 | Team Charter | Complete individual parts of the team charter currently on the master branch of the git | Not started  Not started  Done  Incomplete | Aaron  Charnes  Col  Michelle | 0.25  0.25  0.25  0.25 | 0.25  0.2 | 0.25  0.25  0  0.05 |
| 1.4 | Push Communications | Decide on method of push communication and ensure everyone has an account | Complete | All | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 1.5 | Version Control | Decide on version control and assign everyone a branch | Complete | All | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 2.1 | Task division | Agree upon what each team member will be undertaking to achieve Assignment 2 | Not started | All | 0.25 |  |  |
| 2.2 | Oversight division | Agree who will be reviewing each programmer’s work | Not started | All | 0.25 |  |  |
| 2.3 | Minimal commitment | Each team member should understand their tasks within the assignment, to indicate as much a commitment to the git | Not started  Not started  Not started  Not started | Aaron  Charnes  Col  Michelle | 1  1  1  1 | 0  0  0  0 | 1  1  1  1 |

# 5. Issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
|  |  |  |

# 6. Assessment

[Use this section for capturing and communicating results and actions from assessments, which are typically done at the end of each iteration. If you don’t do this, the team may not be able to improve the way they develop software.]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment target | [This could be the entire iteration or just a specific component] |
| Assessment date |  |
| Participants |  |
| Project status | [For example, express as Red, Yellow, or Green.] |

## Assessment against objectives

[Document whether you addressed the objectives as specified in the Iteration Plan.]

## Work Items: Planned compared to actually completed

[Summarize whether all Work Items planned to be addressed in the iteration were addressed, and which Work Items were postponed or added.]

## Assessment against Evaluation Criteria Test results

[Document whether you met the evaluation criteria as specified in the Iteration Plan. This could include information such as “Demo for Department X was well-received, with some concerns raised around usability,” or “495 test cases were automated with a 98% pass rate. 9 test cases were deferred because the corresponding Work Items were postponed.”

The most important guideline here is that although satisfactorily completed items may summarily mentioned, incomplete items require a more comprehensive explanation ]

## Other concerns and deviations

[List other areas that have been evaluated, such as financials, or schedule deviation, as well as Stakeholder feedback not captured elsewhere.]