Let’s Quiz

Iteration Plan 1

[Note: Text enclosed in square brackets and displayed in blue italics (style=InfoBlue) is included to provide guidance to the author and should be deleted before publishing the document.]

# 1. Key milestones

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| Iteration start | 15-Mar-18 |
| Assignment 2 due date | 9-Apr-18 |
|  |  |
| Iteration stop | 29-Mar-18 |

# 2. High-level objectives

1. Complete your assigned high level task
2. Complete your assigned low level task

# 3. Evaluation criteria

1. Complete your assigned high level task
   1. Are assigned tasks submitted to version control for reviewing by assigned reviewer.
2. Complete your assigned low level task
   1. Are assigned tasks submitted to version control for reviewing by assigned reviewer.

# 4. Work Item assignments

The following Work Items will be addressed in this iteration:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** | **Estimate of hours remaining** |
| 1.1 | Task division | Agree upon what each team member will be undertaking to achieve Assignment 2 (15/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | All | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 1.2 | Oversight division | Agree who will be reviewing each programmer’s work (15/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | All | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 1.3 | Vision Document | Collin submit first draft to version control (17/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | Col | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 1.4 | Proposed Architecture | Aaron submit first draft to version control (17/3/18) | In Progress | Aaron | 4 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 1.5 | Technical Competency | Charnes submit first draft to version control (17/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | Charnes | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 1.6 | Initial Requirement Model | Michelle submit first draft to version control (17/3/18) | In Progress | Michelle | 4 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 1.7 | Vision Document Review | Charnes to review Collins Vision draft (22/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | Charnes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1.8 | Technical Competency Review | Aaron to review Charnes Technical Competency draft (22/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | Aaron | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.9 | Initial Requirement Model Review | Collin to review Michelles Initial Requirement draft (22/3/18) | In Progress | Collin | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 1.10 | Proposed Architecture Review | Michelle to review Aaron’s Proposed Architecture draft (22/3/18) | In Progress | Michelle | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2.1 | Risk List | Aaron submit first draft to version control (24/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/communal/Documentation/Assignment%202%20division%20of%20labour.xlsx) | Aaron | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.2 | Project Plan | Charne submit first draft to version control (24/3/18) | Not Started | Charne | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.3 | Master Test Plan | Michelle submit first draft to version control (24/3/18) | Not Started | Michelle | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.5 | Inception Phase Status | Collin submit first draft to version control (24/3/18) | Not Started | Collin | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.6 | Risk List Review | Michelle to review Aaron’s Risk List draft (28/3/18) | In progress | Michelle | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.7 | Project Plan Review | Aaron to review Charne’s Project Plan draft (28/3/18) | Not Started | Aaron | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.8 | Master Test Plan Review | Collin to review Michelle’s Master Test draft (28/3/18) | Not Started | Collin | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.10 | Inception Phase Status Review | Aaron to review Charne’s Requirement Model draft (28/3/18) | Not Started | Aaron | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.11 | Class Diagram | Collin to draft class diagram for Let’s Quiz  (17/03/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Col/Documentation/Diagrams/Activity%20Diagrams/Class%20Diagram%20Based%20Off%2040k%20Prototype.jpg) | Collin | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.12 | Class Diagram Review | Michelle to review Col’s Class Diagram draft (22/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Col/Documentation/Diagrams/Activity%20Diagrams/Class%20Diagram%20Based%20Off%2040k%20Prototype.jpg) | Michelle | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.13 | Resolve found issues | All team members to reply to comments and solve all found issues in documents during review process | In Progress | All | 5 | 5 | 0 |

# 5. Issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| Use cases diagrams. Trouble understanding how to create proper use case diagrams. | Solved. | Collin and Michelle worked together to figure out how to properly create our use case diagrams. |
| Could not finish Proposed Architecture Notebook until other members had submitted their drafts of documents. | Solved. | Aaron will now begin on Proposed Architecture since all necessary documents have been submitted. |

# 6. Assessment

[Use this section for capturing and communicating results and actions from assessments, which are typically done at the end of each iteration. If you don’t do this, the team may not be able to improve the way they develop software.]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment target |  |
| Assessment date |  |
| Participants |  |
| Project status | [For example, express as Red, Yellow, or Green.] |

## Assessment against objectives

[Document whether you addressed the objectives as specified in the Iteration Plan.]

## Work Items: Planned compared to actually completed

[Summarize whether all Work Items planned to be addressed in the iteration were addressed, and which Work Items were postponed or added.]

## Assessment against Evaluation Criteria Test results

[Document whether you met the evaluation criteria as specified in the Iteration Plan. This could include information such as “Demo for Department X was well-received, with some concerns raised around usability,” or “495 test cases were automated with a 98% pass rate. 9 test cases were deferred because the corresponding Work Items were postponed.”

The most important guideline here is that although satisfactorily completed items may summarily mentioned, incomplete items require a more comprehensive explanation ]

## Other concerns and deviations

[List other areas that have been evaluated, such as financials, or schedule deviation, as well as Stakeholder feedback not captured elsewhere.]